Randolph-Macon Woman's College

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: 60 thand Course: Psyc 307	Semester: Spr. 2006
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating	aid them in planning their faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?	
Les, we went into much more detail on a subject	that in Intro
For only covered hat a chapter but there was so it more than adequately filled the semester.	much to learn
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learn	ning?
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Yes, there was a nice range of teaching technique discussion, in-class group activities is, presentations,	eta Also these
none lecture activities were not as rare I far b	ofween as
other professors sometimes use them the class	tions was well
other professors sometimes use them. The class used and worth attending each time. D. Gotthard	15 010
excellent instructor who is always open to qu	estilus 1 8
outside of class. She obviously puts an image a	to follows
and effort into her classes and I appreciate that a	nound of moyeng
per the hest instanta in I appreciate that a	Consider
ver the best instructor in the psychology departs	nent!

Rev. 11/2005

Professor: Gothard Course: Psy 307 Semester: Spr 2006
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Yes all very good. A wide range of teakhing technique were used and used regularly which was good. So many professors only rarely change the type of lecture or activity.
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction. Dr. bothland obvious puts an imense amount of time and effort into her classes. She is incredibly knowledgedble and very helpful / open to questions in I out of class. I consider her the best instructor in the psyc department.

hours?)	troftends of a con-	
Very excellent		
15 н =		
9		
See in set i	i de la	
	of the course appropriate for the leve lease comment on your effort and inv	
I always find	her exams very challengle	10
Her classes o	ere always interesting & en	Ovalva.
well worth after	dina - I was never temp	JD O
ditch because	I could find something be	Her to
5. What is your overa comments?	all evaluation of the course: suggestion	is or
_ I liked this a	ourse & obviously I thin	h
Dr. bothard is	an At excellent instructor	
	•	
		100

Rev. 11/2005

Randolph-Macon Woman's College

Professor: Girthun Cotthard Course: Poll 307 Semester: Spring 2009
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
Yes - I Think The text helped me leave a lot more easily man denter texts.
easily man denter texts.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
tennifely - she knows the subject and conveys the information
Pernitely- she knows the subject and conveys the information well- she's very concernie + with making some wi
understand.

Professor: Gretchen Course: PSYL 307 Semester: Spring 2006
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
The syllabous was great - we didn't have to vary from it at all "could always depend on the dates a deadlines. I thinks the journal articles (smetimes tind of long is) were good in applying what we were learning tind of long is were good in applying what we were learning
Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
excellent - very knowledgable a concerned with our understanding

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
I didn't take advantage of office hours very
I didn't take advantage of office hours very often, but feedback on exams a quick (especially with oral exams, which I really like, by Meway).
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Yes, Infact it aidn't seem as hard as I would
have expected for a 300 years. But I Think
The fext was much more approachable Than
I'me a it made it easer t ked a really
get invivid.
Ů
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
I really like it. Journal articles are
really beneficial oral exams are great I life
take-home exams to.

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor:	Gotthard		_ Course:	Payc	307			s	emeste	r: <u>5p</u>	ing '06
courses and	l enhancing their	aluation of classes is teaching skills. The	e forms wil	l also as	ssist R-N	MWC in	eedback evaluat	c to ai ing fa	d them culty ef	in pla fectiv	nning their eness.
		#17 CO-CO-90					9 92	y.	20	12	1 2
		so much m									
the	material	very interest	hng								
	- 777										
									=======================================		
-											
2. Did the i	nstructor organ	nize and conduct th	e course in	a way	that fac	ilitated	your le	arnin	g?		08
1 en	pyed the	way the	class	was	set	وں	blc	1+	inclu	ded	
many	differ	ent teaching	· stiles			10-72					A
7	5, ((6)	2001 (0000 1000)	y byles								
			- 11							KIL	
				N. 200 200		iti.					
	and the second second										
									П		
										(4)	

Rev. 11/2005

Randolph-Macon Woman's College Psychology Department

Course Evaluation

Professor: Gottnard Course: Byc 307 Semester: Spring Ole
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
The syllabos was clear and easy to follow. I really
found the oral exams to be nelpful ble they allowed
for more expansion of my answers. I liked the text
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction. Dr. Gottnard Las great I loved her excitement
about the material

3.	How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)							
-	Excellent							
4.	Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.							
	It seemed appropriate for a 300 level							
5.	What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?							
9. 1	Loved it. Thanks!! "							

Rev. 11/2005

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

Professor: G. Gotthard Course: PSUC 307 Semester: S 06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness. 1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how? So much about learning and how much of a role it plays in behavior
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning? Yes. I like the group work and discuss, in that really you us thinking about the subject.

Professor: G. Potthard Course: PSYC 307 Semester: S 82
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
The syllabore was very dear and I referred to
were disnifised and made clair. The assignments and
Charles with six and hold feedblade
exams were set up in a way to hope facilitate
learning. I liked the idea of well etams and frequent
 Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
She was always prepared and the class
followed a construteble pace.
TOWN OF CONTRACT PROPERTY.

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
Very
6
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
you came to close you were able to
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
l'enjoyed il. houd jub.

*6

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

Professor: Course: Psych 307 Semester: Spr	<u>~' O</u>
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in plant courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectives	
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?	
didn't like all the articles; some were much harder than others. Really liked the last one	ε
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?	
(exercises) during slass.	火

Professor: Gotthard Course: Psy 300 Semester: Spr 66
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Local. Practice in back was volpful, but more lecture in class. Cast exam was difficult; didn't like the inclusion of articles in examp ble was hard to eneralize what we decided was important in class. 2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction. Pretty and i clear, wasles — rece explanation in lectures

3	3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
-	Good.
	, Terli (10),
-	s riss shown in
	4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
7	Yes, el think so.
-	
<u>=</u>	
5	5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
_	es change some of the articles.
_	Can't think of anything
,-	Thomks! Have a good summe

0.53

Randolph-Macon Woman's College

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: _	Guttand	Course: ρ^{544} 30 7	Semester: Spring
courses and er	hancing their teaching	of classes is to provide faculty members with a skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in your intellectual growth? If so, how?	
			=(a jeco.)26
		deeper understanding of psycholog	d Jest Western
	1758	d conduct the course in a way that facilitated	
— YES	working together	helps more than lectures	
·			
A			
			1

Rev. 11/2005

Professor:	Course:	Semester:	_
The objective of stude them in planning their R-MWC in evaluating	courses and enhancing their	provide faculty members with feedback to teaching skills. The forms will also assist	aid
and texts he	elpful in reaching the g		ns,
I like	d the workhook	excercises , too many tests	
-			
clarity and	pace of presentation, a	nctor, enthusiasm of instructor, and methods of instruction.	day

he demands of the 00, 200)? Please of	e course app			
he demands of the 00, 200)? Please o	course app	ropriate fo	# the level	
00, 200)? Please o	'Ammeni An	vous offer	t and invo	of the course
		your crior	t and mvo	
		<u> </u>		
	2			
	luation of th	e course: s	suggestions	s or
c lass				
8				
	-			
16	is your overall evaluents?	is your overall evaluation of the	is your overall evaluation of the course: sents?	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

.0

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

Professor: Gotthard	_Course: _	PSYC	307	Semester: Spring 66
The objective of student evaluation of classes is courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The	s to provide the forms will	faculty me also assis	embers w st R-MW	rith feedback to aid them in planning their C in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intelle	ctual growth	? If so,	how?	
- This course enhanter of the perspective of	rced behav	my i	unders Leav	iney and the contributions
and appliations of the	se to e	renjde	ry o	eperdences
				,
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct th	ie course in :	a way tha	at facilita	ated your learning?
Lectures are great	nt, esp	seciall	es w	en tied in with
- The world book activities	, but	the	anh	le disussions were
nou of a nuisana	and didi	-'t al	ways	Embellish or supplement
what we were study				
				# ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## #

Professor: Gotthand Course: Psyc 307 & Semester: Spring 06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Syllabus was fine, Textbook is EXCELLENT!
Explains everything very well and helps apply Concepts throughout the reading.
Oral Exams were great too, but smetimes moterial
was a little too information-heavy for the written exams.
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction. Dr Gotthard B great - definitely knows
and loves what she is teaching

How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
very Friendler, always willing to explain
Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
The reading and class involvement were thought- provoking and very appropriate for the 300-course level
What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
This is me of the best Psyc courses
Let's just pick some different anticles



Rev. 11/2005

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

Professor: Cottward Course: 501 (PSYC) Semester: Spring of
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
that the professor picks a text where we use the whole
that the professor picks a text where we use the whole
book. I liked now specific the Scope of the
Course was - and we were able to roally explore
details that a more general course couldn't have
gotten into.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
The exams reflected that which we learned in
closs and from the text The in-class actilities
were helpful and engaging. The prof was very accessible outside of class to answer any questions
accessible outside of class to answer any questions
I would have preferred more lecture so that I could
have clear notes from which to Study
0

Professor: Cotthard	Course: Psyc 307	Semester: Spring 06
The objective of student evaluations them in planning their courses R-MWC in evaluating faculty	s and enhancing their teaching ski	lty members with feedback to aid lls. The forms will also assist

1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?

Syllabus was very clear + specific.

Some of the little assignments seemed tediors +

unnecessary. Perhaps if part of the grade

allowed for these assignments, they wouldn't

be as irritatine. Exams, texts were great for

learning, testing the wasterial.

2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.

Clear and well-paced class instruction however,

I felt as though she had the intent of accomplishing

More in a lecture and that we had to crunch

Information in later. Enthusiastic well-prepared

enjoyshle and engaging class

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)	
Excellent feedback, very accessible in and out of class, meetings, etc.	_
and out of class meetings, etc.	
•	
	_
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.	•
Very reasonable demands for a 300 level	_
Very reasonable demands for a zon well Carren - the class setup facilitated discuss	ion
and I participated often, that	
	<u>-2</u> 2)
	20
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?	
A great, well-disigned course. It would be interesting to have a course Such	
be Interesting to have a course such	ŧ
25 this one Frot approached learning from	i
a different perspective (e.g. cognitive).	
Great to have a professor teaching her area	
of expertise!	