COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Wetchen Cotthard Course: TSYC 1025 Semester: Spring OC
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
yes, I think I learned alot of important things
about society, mental & mood disorders.
Because of tohat I learned & debated in this class
Tam now better able to discuss difficult
topics in a variety of Situations. Also the things
we studied in this bourse pop up in my every
day life and conversations.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning? YES, Article dissecussions, choup exarcises t
Scarenar hunts were great.
scarriger rains were great.

Professor: Gotthand Course: 162 B Semester: Spring 2006
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Syllabus was great. Yes, everything fit
Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
Instructor was fabulous; I'm going to miss her pert semester. Every thing she
planned was good.

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
Dr. Gotthard was great. She even saw me outside of office hours to discuss something unrelated to my course cet her
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement. Yes, I worked for the grade I will be getti, but I don't think it was too hard for this ieur
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
Teach it the exact same way again next

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor:	Gatthera	Course: _10 z	B	Semester: _	spring
	ve of student evaluation of classes is lenhancing their teaching skills. Th				
1. Did this	s course contribute to your intelled	ctual growth? If s	o, how?		
yes	el learned a lot	srom the	study as	psychology.	
and a	el learned a lot have enjoyed the to	pie.		7 97	
	-				
	nstructor organize and conduct th				
yes	she did a great	job ta	ching the	carerse 1	he
anly	peopley was al	could	of used o	we en eya	mples
- fr	she did a great problem was all am outside saw	rees.			
-	**************************************				
		•			
					a

Professor: <u>Ballhard</u> Course: <u>prys. 102 B</u> Semester: <u>spring</u>
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
It was very clear complete and helpful in
telling what we were doing each day in
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor,
clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
it was very good she was enthusiastic
which made the topic more enjoyable. It
could have hepped horsing more information
other then in the lext that brought the
tapies lagether and gave disserent example

(, with fe						s in and out of during office
_&	he	mas	very	- Ind	spans	ine	and	Melpfrl
								d.
(e.g.,	100, 20	0)? Pleas	e comm	ent on yo	ur effo	ort and i	evel of the cour nvolvement.
el		mov	ed f	asl a	nd di	dnit	dru	<i>y</i>
		nents?			n of the c	ourse:	sugges	tions or
	e .	enjay	ved the	his c	oursi	- 1	wry	march
,			W = 1 H = 1	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR				

Rev. 11/2005

Randolph-Macon Woman's College

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor:	Course:	Semester:
them in planning the	dent evaluation of classes is to provie eir courses and enhancing their teach ng faculty effectiveness.	de faculty members with feedback to aid ing skills. The forms will also assist
How clear and texts	r and complete was the sylla helpful in reaching the goals	bus? Were assignments, exams, of the course?
Vark to	t many, many three naving exactly who and assignments l	and complete I looked is throughout the source of the source of the course.
Commen clarity an	t on preparation of instructor ad pace of presentation, and r	e, enthusiasm of instructor, methods of instruction.
very cla	par, explained thing	Il prepured made things or many different hery of parce. I generally nethods of teaching.

	sive was the instructor? (e.g., teedback on assignments, and in	
When he	at feed back, realled be taked her	a best to do
	mands of the course appropriat 00)? Please comment on your e	
inad a g	demands were vere vere vere vere of no too difficult.	
5. What is you comments?	r overall evaluation of the cour	se: suggestions or
My area	all evaluation of the healty enjoyed the . I have no suggestive	dess and the

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: 400 Semester: Noung OG
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
yes, very much so! I throughly enjoyed
the learning in this class and feel that I am a more well-rounded
individual after acquiring the
information from this class.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
ys, a good combination of lecture,
papers & group work I learn
lest by doing so more projects
of or individual assignments are
always good

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
Very good! always responsive, work is welcoming.
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Thave too many extra assignment that the work load was
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
good to opportunity to correct tests a learn
thanks for being so mice!

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gotthard Course: Psych 102 B Semester: Soing
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how? Les In this course we were able to understand more about the human psyche.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
Yes trotessor botthand was able to keep the course interesting, she
Yes Professor Gotthard was able to keep the course interesting, she used visual aides and examples to help understand concepts. Her reviews are also helpful and fin

Randolph-Macon Woman's College Psychology Department

Course Evaluation

Professor: <u>Sotherd</u> Course: <u>Busch 102 B</u> Semester: <u>Spring</u>
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
The syllabus was perfectly understandable. all the
maternals and exams were he that to the learning in the
Com/se
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
Deally brew her stuff, seemed to enjoy teaching it mere
mared to last and was always ready to answer guestions.
The videos, his cassions and group activities were , 11 really
Le /f.11

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
She always had clear concide answers. On assignments
She make her comments known
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Es. I never had an overchelming amount of work, The
readings and havassymments we had were every to be keep
pra buey
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
I really liked the way it was tany br.



COURSE EVALUATION

Professor:	Gotthard	Course:	102 B		Semester: Sprin	ng 06
The objective courses and o	e of student evaluation of cl enhancing their teaching ski	asses is to provide lls. The forms wil	faculty members also assist R-MV	with feedback to VC in evaluating	aid them in plannin faculty effectivenes	g their
1. Did this	course contribute to your	intellectual growt	h? If so, how?			
Yp	s Tlearna	1 a lot	about	1.36	name 1.	
beh	s. I learned	e way	Huy a	lo.	people	
		9922				
2. Did the in	structor organize and con	duct the course in	a way that facili	tated your learn	ing?	
Yes.	I liked h	ow the	Class	1005 5	structure	d
but	I liked h I would like	and that	more le	Hure as	nd less	
grap	activity.					
					THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF THE	
-	The Property of the Control of the C					

Professor: 60+ Course: Psyx 102 B Semester: Spring De
Services Ser
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Syllabus was very detailed. I
Syllabus was very detailed. I learned alot more with the
outside reading.
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor,
clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
Dr. Cotthard was a sens great
professor. She was almost always
professor. She was a almost always enthusiastic about what we
were to sking about and made thing
were talking about and made thing
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
	_Creat.
æ	
	4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
	Yes the demands were appropriate. A couple of times I almost forgot about
	the labs though.
	5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
	The course was great. The only thing I would do differently is
	maybe institute an attendance
	policy because some people are rever here. They should lose points for not coming.
	points for not coming.



Randolph-Macon Woman's College

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gotthard Course: Psyc 102 B semester: Sonng
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
you who corresed a wide sanger of topics
that a laure Brief much account of
You We covered a wide range of topics that I ever find myself applying to The classes
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
Yes. I enjoyed the way that she
catored to many different learning
Stules

Professor: Gothard Course: Psyc 102B Semester: Spring
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Definitely. Every thing was Aluxes
Cleare and she was willing to explain
if it ever was not.
Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
Il was never bored or left behind, so
pacing was perfect. Her sense of humor
Kept class light and she was always
den about her expectations.

c	Iow responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of lass, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office ours?)
<u>l</u> pro	Whenever I had a question she was
24	
	Vere the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
- O	Jes. I feel that this course was the
sea The	Js. I feel that this course was the refect 100 level course probably the most listic 100 level course, as far as expectations, it I have taken)
	What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or omments?
	Excellent! Il wouldn't change anything!
25	

Rev. 11/2005

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gotthard	_Course: _	bsac	102 B		Semeste	r: Spring 06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The	s to provide e forms will	faculty m also assi	embers with f st R-MWC in	eedback to evaluating	aid them faculty et	in planning their fectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellec	ctual growth	h? If so,	how?			
Yes, I learned a lot mor	re ab	2004	psycholo	gy thi	N 20	malter,
and I really enjoyed						
that I gained from					\sim	
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct th	e course in	a way th	at facilitated	your learn	ing?	
Yes, It was very organia	ed a	ra 4	he am	100 0		ions
and activities really						
	-				115711	4)

Professor: Gothard Course: Pryc 102 B Semester: Spring 06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
very clear, we followed it protly much just as
it was layed out. Yes the assignments, exam
+ texts were helpful in my learning experien
1 TEXAS COCIE IN PIOT THE HIGH CHATTER
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor,
clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
very prepared and enthusiartic about tracking
Into was clear and the methods of
instruction were well thought-out

class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
very responsive, got back to me in a reasonable
amount of time.
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Yes, I was very involved and put my extent
forth, but it Showed, and that's all it
talker for this class.
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
very good rourse. Everyone Should take this class before they graduate!

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: De Gotthard Course: Psy 102B Semester: Spring
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
defenately, it's always great to learn the different theories on how humans function and it gives insight on basic lyle situation that is pulyful.
different theories on how humans function and
d gives insight on base tyle situations that is
perfect.
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
Jes, I particularly injoyed the group activities if allows your roise to be heard in a smaller settling.
sotting

Professor: Do Gotthard	Course: Psyc 107B	Semester: Johns
	nation of classes is to provide facults and enhancing their teaching skill effectiveness.	
	omplete was the syllabus? V in reaching the goals of the	
cryptal cloc	or syllation and ve been better	stellar exams-
		<u></u>
	paration of instructor, enthus of presentation, and method	
	enthusian	tic about the
) subjects a	not makes it learn the mater

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)	K
VENUE DANDOMORIOS MARA COMO COMO DA LAS O	
very responsive, she gave answers in a timely and concine manner.	
Timely and concine manner.	
1. Were the demands of the source appropriate for the level of the source	•••
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the cour (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.	ISE
(e.g., 100, 200)? Flease comment on your effort and involvement.	
una mat to da solitate	
go, not a demanding our demande	1
yes, not to demanding but demands enough to make you stop & think	-
5 W. C.	
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or	
comments?	
	-
\'	
`	



Rev. 11/2005

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor:	Gottmard		Course: _	PSYC 10Z	В	Semester: _spring	
courses and	enhancing their te	ation of classes is to aching skills. The f e to your intellectu	orms will	also assist R-	MWC in evaluating	aid them in planning their faculty effectiveness.	r
Yes, in	ion know move	mbout psycho	105 у.				
							_
							_
							_
2. Did the in	nstructor organize	and conduct the c	ourse in	a way that fa	cilitated your learn	ning?	
Yes, I felt	510 med mard	to organize the	clas in	a way +	o help everyon	e karn.	— s
							_
							-
							-
			234			#1	-

Professor: GOHIMY	Course: Psyc	10ZB	Semester:	Spring
The objective of student evaluation them in planning their course R-MWC in evaluating facult	es and enhancing thei	provide faculty r teaching skills	members with The forms will	feedback to aid
How clear and c and texts helpfu		S. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		ents, exams,
Yes, overything w	as very clear a	nd the ausig	mnunts aid	ud in preparing
for noth tests and	exlims.			
<u></u>				
2. Comment on pre- clarity and pace	•	770		1,57.0
THE WASHINGTON WAS	very clear and	enthusiastic	w frown	110NJ. There
was never a three of	bux clum.			
) 		- 2011/2011/11/2011		

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
she would answer any questions and encuraged questions to be giked
so that exergone would feel comfortable with the failed and
material).
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Yes, we had just the right number of remands. Not too much
but enough to keep it interesting and educational.
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments?
The course i very good and should keep doing a great ist.
······································

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gothard	Course:	Psych.	100	B	Semester: Spring	'Olo
The objective of student evaluation of classe courses and enhancing their teaching skills. 1. Did this course contribute to your integral.	The forms w	ill also assist I	R-MWC i	feedback to n evaluating	aid them in planning g faculty effectiveness	their
yes, I barned so glad I took it	much.	in th	71.3	clas	S, I'M 30	
2. Did the instructor organize and conduction Ves, she was very						

Professor: Gothard Course: Psych, 102 B Semester: Spring 'Ob
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
 How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Tests were congruent with materials + subject
matter Syllabus was very easy to
understand.
2. Comment on managed in a finatory anthysisson of instructor
Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
De Callbard in maderal and seems T
Dr. Gathard is a wonderful professor. I think that sums it up.
Think that sails it up.

How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
Dr Gotthard was always happy to answer questions, etc.
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement. I feel that this course was challenging I'm very satisfied.
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or
comments?
T had the time to toke more psychology courses.

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: 6. 60 Hoherd Course: DSVC 102 B Semester: Spring '06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectual growth? If so, how?
les 1 know more about Psychology now
2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the course in a way that facilitated your learning?
as well from other people who grent knowledgeable about the subject, like the professor.

Professor: 6. Coltherd Course: PSYC 102B Semester: Spring Olo
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
It was clear. Yes
Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
She was Cheerful but worked really grackly
She was Cheerful but wiked really grickly and made the students teach each other which
Idon't like.

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
Venj
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Yes, it made sense for a 102 corre. I made a
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or
Howe the professor do more of the teaching, no the Shidents
the students

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gottland	Course:	102 psyc	B	Semester: Spring 100
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The	o provide forms wil	faculty members wit also assist R-MWC	th feedback to in evaluating	aid them in planning their faculty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to your intellectu	ual growt	h? If so, how?		
yes, the carse was	very	well taug	ht.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

2. Did the instructor organize and conduct the			THE RESERVE OF STREET STREET	
the cause for.	J Ven	y innuation	re aud	made
the cause tin.				
				14
				2

Professor: Gottvard Course: 102 psyc B Semester: Spring '06
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
cres The scalabors was new detailed and
all assignments facilitized learning
an assignments racinitated darming
2. Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor,
clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
Dr. Gotthard is aucsence! Her Classes were
always for aid well prepared.
always 141 ard well prepared.

3. How responsive was the instructor? (e.g., to questions in and out of class, with feedback on assignments, and in meetings during office hours?)
She was usually available in her office a
She was usually available in her office or by comail when we had girestions.
4. Were the demands of the course appropriate for the level of the course
(e.g., 100, 200)? Please comment on your effort and involvement.
Levels of demand were appropriate, I had to
put effect in and be involved but not an extreme level.
5. What is your overall evaluation of the course: suggestions or comments? At Tim really going to miss the class.

Rev. 11/2005

RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE

COURSE EVALUATION

Professor: Gotthard	Course:	Psych-(0)	Se	emester: Spring 200
The objective of student evaluation courses and enhancing their teaching	of classes is to provide g skills. The forms wi	e faculty members with ll also assist R-MWC ir	feedback to aid evaluating fac	d them in planning their culty effectiveness.
1. Did this course contribute to y Yes, Tlearned myself, as well			2sycho	logy and
		•		
				2
2. Did the instructor organize and Yes, avoup disc hand experte me veroulizat	conduct the course in	na way that facilitated and 45 deos psycholoc	your learning	eda (1.5+ 2 gave
VIE VO OCHIZAT	ion ound a	prication	4	

Professor: 604-10910 Course: 15ych 102 Semester: Spring 3080
The objective of student evaluation of classes is to provide faculty members with feedback to aid them in planning their courses and enhancing their teaching skills. The forms will also assist R-MWC in evaluating faculty effectiveness.
1. How clear and complete was the syllabus? Were assignments, exams, and texts helpful in reaching the goals of the course?
Very clear (Colloword pretty close Yes, especially
the makeup of the exam (for some forms)
looking at stagein, and learning nothing
 Comment on preparation of instructor, enthusiasm of instructor, clarity and pace of presentation, and methods of instruction.
The teacher was always enthusiastic, and prepared. She a lowed time Gr
students to discuss the material which allowed for a slow but steady pace.

	How responsive was the instruction class, with feedback on assignment hours?)	nents, and in r	neetings during	goffice
	She always go answers.	ot back	quick	with
	25			
4.	Were the demands of the course (e.g., 100, 200)? Please commer I + Wash + Very Very Nuch.	e appropriate into on your eff	for the level of ort and involve	the course ment.
	io much.		1001	
5.	What is your overall evaluation comments?		2	
0	I loved this clo	iss her	s of s	learne mply
V	making the grad	е.		
			V10-0-0	

.