

## Math 360 ALGEBRA HOMEWORK 9 SOLUTIONS

**Proofs the properties of a homomorphism:** These are in the book.

**Chapter 10 #6.** Let  $P$  be the set of polynomials with real coefficients.  $P$  is a group under addition. Define  $\phi : P \rightarrow P$  by

$$\phi(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0) = \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{n} x^n + \dots + \frac{a_1}{2} x + a_0 x + 0.$$

Note that the constant term is zero because the problem requires the antiderivative to pass through  $(0,0)$ .

Let  $f, g$  be two polynomials in  $P$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\phi(f+g) = \phi(f) + \phi(g)$  hence that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

$$\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{f \in P \mid \phi(f) = 0\} = 0 \text{ (Only the zero function maps to zero).}$$

If the mapping was defined so that the antiderivative passed through  $(0,1)$  instead of  $(0,0)$  then it would not be a homomorphism. Because then

$$\phi(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0) = \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{n} x^n + \dots + \frac{a_1}{2} x + a_0 x + 1.$$

For  $x$  and 1 in  $P$  we have

$$\phi(x+1) = \frac{x^2}{2} + x + 1 \text{ whereas } \phi(x) + \phi(1) = \frac{x^2}{2} + 1 + x + 1.$$

**Chapter 10 #8.** For  $\text{sgn}(\alpha\beta)$  there are four cases to consider:

case 1:  $\alpha$  even  $\beta$  even.

case 2:  $\alpha$  even  $\beta$  odd

case 3:  $\alpha$  odd  $\beta$  even

case 4:  $\alpha$  odd  $\beta$  odd

Check that in either case the operation is preserved.

$$\text{Ker}(\text{sgn}) = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \text{sgn}(\sigma) = 1\} = A_n.$$

**Chapter 10 #17.** Suppose there is a homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ . Then by the first isomorphism theorem

$$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 / \text{Ker}\phi \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Comparing the number of elements we get that  $|\text{Ker}\phi| = 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  is Abelian, all subgroups are normal. So possibilities for  $\text{Ker}\phi$  are subgroups of order 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . There are three such subgroups:

$H_1 = \{(0, 0), (8, 1)\}$ ,  $H_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$ , and  $H_3 = \{(0, 0), (8, 0)\}$ .

If  $\text{Ker}\phi = H_1$  then  $(1, 0) + \text{Ker}\phi$  has order 16 but  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has no element of order 16.

If  $\text{Ker}\phi = H_2$  then  $(1, 0) + \text{Ker}\phi$  has order 16 but  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has no element of order 16.

If  $\text{Ker}\phi = H_3$  then  $(1, 0) + \text{Ker}\phi$  has order 8 but  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has no element of order 8.

Therefore there are no homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

**Chapter 10 #20.** There are no homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  because if there were such a homomorphism, say  $\phi$ , then by the first isomorphism theorem  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}/\text{Ker}\phi \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$ . This would imply  $20 = |\text{Ker}\phi| \times 8$  (why?) which is not possible.

There are homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  that are not onto.

Let  $\phi$  be one such map. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}/\text{Ker}\phi \cong \text{Im}\phi$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  is finite, we have  $|\text{Ker}\phi||\text{Im}\phi| = 20$

$\text{Ker}\phi$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  and  $\text{Im}\phi$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  so possibilities are

1.  $|\text{Ker}\phi| = 5$  and  $|\text{Im}\phi| = 4$
2.  $|\text{Ker}\phi| = 10$  and  $|\text{Im}\phi| = 2$

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  has only one subgroup of order 5, and only one subgroup of order 10.

Because  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  is cyclic  $\phi$  is determined by its action on its generator 1.

For case 1, i.e. when  $|\text{Ker}\phi| = 5$ , we have  $\text{Ker}\phi = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16\}$ .

Let  $\phi(1) = 2$  (it should map to something of order 4 in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ , why?).

All the elements in a coset should map to the same element (why?), so

elements in  $0 + \text{Ker}\phi = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16\}$  map to 0.

elements in  $1 + \text{Ker}\phi = \{1, 5, 9, 13, 17\}$  map to 2.

elements in  $2 + \text{Ker}\phi = \{2, 6, 10, 14, 18\}$  map to 4. (why?)

elements in  $3 + \text{Ker}\phi = \{3, 7, 11, 15, 19\}$  map to 6. (why?)

Carry out a similar argument for the second case, that is when  $|\text{Ker}\phi| = 10$ .

**Chapter 10 #33.**  $\phi : U_{40} \rightarrow U_{40}$  is a homomorphism with kernel  $\{1, 9, 17, 33\}$ . Suppose  $\phi(11) = 11$

Note that for a homomorphism  $\phi$ ,  $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$ , iff  $\phi(ab^{-1}) = 1$  iff  $ab^{-1} \in \text{Ker}\phi$ , that is  $a \in b\text{Ker}\phi$ . Therefore if  $\phi(11) = 11$  then the elements that map to 11 are precisely the elements in  $11\text{Ker}\phi = \{11, 19, 27, 3\}$ .

**Chapter 10 #34.** Find a homomorphism as in problem 33.

We need to determine where each of the cosets of  $\text{Ker}\phi$  map. We already have

elements in  $1\text{Ker}\phi = \{1, 9, 17, 33\}$  map to 1.

elements in  $11\text{Ker}\phi = \{11, 19, 27, 3\}$  map to 11.

If we map the other two cosets as follows we get a homomorphism as desired:

Elements in  $7Ker\phi = \{7, 23, 39, 31\}$  map to 7.

Elements in  $13Ker\phi = \{13, 37, 21, 29\}$  map to 13.

Think: Can you find others?

**Chapter 10 #36.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$  be such that  $\phi((3, 2)) = a$  and  $\phi((2, 1)) = b$ .

Then  $\phi((3, 2) - (2, 1)) = \phi((3, 2)) - \phi((2, 1)) = a - b$  and  $\phi((4, 4)) = \phi(4(1, 1)) = 4\phi((1, 1)) = 4(a - b)$  using the fact that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

**Chapter 10 #39.** Second Isomorphism Theorem: If  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $K/(K \cap N) \cong KN/N$ .

1. Prove that  $K \cap N$  is normal in  $K$ .
2. Prove that  $N$  is normal in  $KN$ .
3. Prove that the map  $\phi : K \rightarrow KN/N$  given by  $\phi(k) = kN$  is a homomorphism and is onto.
4. Apply the first isomorphism theorem.

**Proofs:**

**1.** Let  $a \in K \cap N$  and  $b \in K$ . We need to prove that  $x = bab^{-1} \in K \cap N$ . Since  $N$  is normal in  $G$  and  $b \in G$  and  $a \in N$  we have  $x \in N$ .

$x$  is also in  $K$  because  $a$  and  $b$  are both in  $K$  and  $K$  is a subgroup.

**2.** Let  $a \in N$  and  $b \in KN$ . We need to prove that  $x = bab^{-1} \in N$ . Since  $N$  is normal in  $G$  and  $b \in KN \subset G$  and  $a \in N$  we have  $x \in N$ .

**3.** Let  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Then  $\phi(k_1 k_2) = (k_1 k_2)N = k_1 N k_2 N = \phi(k_1) \phi(k_2)$ . The second equality holds by definition of product of cosets. Therefore  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

$\phi$  is onto because a coset in  $KN/N$  is of the form  $knN$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $n \in N$ . Note that  $nN = N$  because  $n \in N$ . Then  $\phi(K) = kN$  so any coset in  $KN/N$  is hit by  $\phi$ .

**4.**  $Ker\phi = \{k \in K | \phi(k) = N\}$  so  $Ker\phi = \{k \in K | kN = N\} = \{k \in K | k \in N\} = K \cap N$ .

Hence by the first isomorphism theorem  $K/(K \cap N) \cong KN/N$

**Chapter 10 #50.** Let  $G = \langle a \rangle$ . Any element in  $G$  is of the form  $a^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for a homomorphism  $\phi$ ,  $\phi(a^k) = (\phi(a))^k$ . So if  $\phi(a)$  is given, the images of the rest of the elements will be determined by it.